

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/497,320 02/03/2000		Ahmad Ghaemmaghami	E0545/1516P	7350
7:	590 10/17/2002			
Joseph A. Sawyer Jr.			EXAMINER	
Sawyer & Associates PO BOX 51418			DIAZ, JOSE R	
Palo Alto, CA	94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/497,320	GHAEMMAGHAMI ET AL.				
,, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	José R Díaz	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	o) will be entered and an own or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,5,7,8,11,12 and 14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)	proved by the Ekaminer.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		11				
10. Other:	SUPE	CEDDIE LEE RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER CHNOLOGY CENTER 2800				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/497,320





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation "the thin photoresist layer is a thickness less than the gate height" in the claimed method, as presented in the amendment, sets forth subject matter which was not considered in the finally rejected claim and hence presents new issues which require further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, the limitation "the thin photoresist layer is a thickness less than the gate height", as presented in the amendment, raises the issue of new matter since such a limitation is not supported by the original Specification.